

7-0728-00 WHITE - EE

OFFICIAL BALLOT OF THE GENERAL ELECTION

0728 SAN VICTOR

ELECTION DATE: **NOVEMBER 8, 2022** COUNTY OF MARICOPA, STATE OF ARIZONA

TO VOTE FOR A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE: PRINT THE NAME ATOP OF THE TO VOTE: COMPLETELY FILL THE OVAL(S) NEXT TO YOUR John Doe DOE, JANE SHADED TEXT AND COMPLETELY FILL THE OVAL, AS SHOWN CHOICE(S). AS SHOWN Refer to full text of propositions and questions posted in the polling place, printed on the sample ballot and provided with all mailed early ballots. JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT 1. Put a mark according to the instructions next to the name of each candidate for each office for whom you wish to vote. COUNTY Shall the following Justices of the Supreme Court of Arizona be retained in office? 2. If you wish to vote for a person whose name is not printed on the ballot, write such name in the blank space provided on the ballot **COUNTY ATTORNEY TERM EXPIRES DECEMBER 31, 2024** and put a mark according to the instructions next to the name s (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) YES Retain: 3. Put a mark according to the instructions next to the word "yes" BEENE, JAMES "for" for each proposition or question you wish to be adopted. Put a mark according to the instructions next to the word "no" or "against MITCHELL, RACHEL (REP) NO for each proposition or question you wish not to be adopted PARTISAN BALLOT GUNNIGLE, JULIE (DEM) YES Retain: MONTGOMERY, WILLIAM **FEDERAL** NO **CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT UNITED STATES SENATOR** YES Retain: (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1 (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) TIMMER, ANN MASTERS, BLAKE (REP) FINE, JEFF (REP) NO JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION 1 KELLY, MARK (DEM) Shall the following Judges of the Court of Appeals, Division I, of Arizona be retained in office? JUSTICE OF THE PEACE VICTOR, MARC J. (LBT) MCDOWELL MOUNTAIN (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) YES Retain: Division 1 **BAILEY, CYNTHIA U.S. REP. IN CONGRESS** REAGAN, MICHELE (REP) NO **DISTRICT 1** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) YES Retain: Division 1 CATTANI, KENT E. **CONSTABLE** SCHWEIKERT, DAVID (REP) NO MCDOWELL MOUNTAIN HODGE, JEVIN D. (DEM) (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) YES Retain: Division 1 GASS, DAVID Nrite-In Candidate LESTER. DAVID (REP) NO \bigcirc JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT **STATE** Shall the following Judges of the Superior Court be retained in office? **GOVERNOR** NONPARTISAN BALLOT (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) **CENTRAL AZ WATER CONSERV DIST** LAKE, KARI (REP) YES Retain: Division 28 (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 5) ASTROWSKY, BRADLEY HOBBS, KATIE (DEM) BULLINGTON, LISA (NO Nrite-In Candidate DULANEY, ALAN YES Retain: Division 49 BACHUS, ALISON S. STATE SENATOR DUPLESSIS, SHELBY NO **DISTRICT 4** (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) GRAFF, BEN YES Retain: Division 55 BLAIR, MICHAEL C. LUNDGREN, JASON (BARTO, NANCY (REP) NO MARSH, CHRISTINE (DEM) MISHKIN, CORY YES Retain: Division 73 BLANCHARD, JOHN MONIZE, AMANDA NO LAXSON STATE REPRESENTATIVE NEESE, DONOVAN L. YES Retain: Division 21 DISTRICT 4 BRAIN, MARK H. (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2) PEDERSON, JIM NO PETERS, KAREN \bigcirc YES SYMS, MARIA (REP) Retain: Division 74 BROOKS, ROBERT I. GRESS, MATT (REP) SEAGO, BARBARA A. NO WOOD, DANIEL CIRIGNANI TERECH, LAURA (DEM) YES Retain: Division 43 CAMPAGNOLO, AGUILAR, YLENIA NO **THEODORE** ARBOLEDA, ALEXANDRA YES Retain: Division 52 COMO, GREGORY S. SECRETARY OF STATE NO (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1 Write-In Candidate FINCHEM, MARK (REP) YES \bigcirc Retain: Division 24 COOPER, KATHERINE FONTES. ADRIAN (DEM) NO "KAY" Nrite-In Candidate Write-In Candidate YES Retain: Division 80 \bigcirc COVIL, MAX H. ATTORNEY GENERAL NO (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1 MARICOPA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE HAMADEH, ABRAHAM "ABE" (REP) YES Retain: Division 82 DISTRICT CRANDELL, RUSTY D. AT-LARGE MAYES, KRIS (DEM) NO (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) Write-In Candidate KAUFMAN, RANDY \bigcirc Retain: Division 26 YES CRAWFORD, JANICE K. STATE TREASURER BUTLER, KELLI NO FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) YEE, KIMBERLY (REP) YES Retain: Division 54 CULBERTSON, KRISTIN QUEZADA, MARTÍN (DEM) NO **SCOTTSDALE UNIF NO. 48** SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER YES Retain: Division 75 (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2) DAVIS, MARVIN L. SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION GAUDIO, MARY E. NO (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) KECK, ANDREA HORNE. TOM (REP) YES Retain: Division 81 **EDELSTEIN, MONICA** VAULES, ROBB **HOFFMAN, KATHY** (DEM) NO BELLAPRAVALU Write-In Candidate WERNER, CARINE YES Retain: Division 09 FINK, DEAN M. STATE MINE INSPECTOR CARNEY, AMY L. NO (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1 Write-In Candidate MARSH, PAUL (REP) YES Retain: Division 38 FISH, GEOFFREY H. Write-In Candidate NO CORPORATION COMMISSIONER YES QUESTION Retain: Division 42 (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2) FOX, DEWAIN D. A "YES" vote shall authorize the Scottsdale Unified School THOMPSON, KEVIN (REP) NO District Governing Board to adopt a budget which includes an amount for capital purposes that exceeds its district additional assistance budget limit by the lesser of MYERS, NICHOLAS "NICK" (REP) YES Retain: Division 41 \$14,500,000 or 10% of the District's revenue control limit. GREEN, JENNIFER E. KUBY, LAUREN (DEM) NO A "NO" vote shall not authorize the Scottsdale Unified School District Governing Board to adopt a budget which includes an amount for capital purposes that exceeds its KENNEDY, SANDRA (DEM) YES Retain: Division 23 listrict additional assistance budget limit by the lesser HERROD, MICHAEL JOHN NO \$14,500,000 or 10% of the District's revenue control limit. BUDGET INCREASE, YES YES Retain: Division 45 HOPKINS, STEPHEN COUNTY BUDGET INCREASE, NO NO MATTHEW **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DISTRICT 2** CITY OF SCOTTSDALE YES Retain: Division 69 TERM EXPIRES DECEMBER 31, 2024 JULIAN, MELISSA IYER COUNCILMEMBER (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) NO (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1) GRAHAM, BARRY **GALVIN, THOMAS** (REP) YES Retain: Division 70 KIEFER, JOSEPH S. Write-In Candidate CARTER, PAMELA NO \bigcirc Write-In Candidate YES Retain: Division 10 KREAMER, JOSEPH C.

NO

SAMPLE / MUESTRA

	SUPERIOR COURT	STATE	STATE
Shall the following Judges of the retained in office?	le Superior Court be	PROPOSITION 130	PROPOSITION 309
Retain: Division 48	YES _	PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO PROPERTY TAX EXEMPTIONS	REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO VOTER IDENTIFICATION
LEMAIRE, KERSTIN G.	NO 🔾		A "YES" vote shall have the effect of requiring the affidavit accompanying an early ballot and return envelope to be capable
Retain: Division 12	YES 🔾		of being concealed when returned; requiring a voter to write their birth date, a state-issued identification number or the last four
MARTIN, DANIEL G.	NO 🔾	prescribe by law the qualifications for and amounts of property tax exemptions it creates; allowing property tax exemptions for an advantage of the property tax exemptions for the property t	digits of the voter's social security number, and signature on an early ballot affidavit; requiring certain photo identification issued by the State of Arizona, or a tribal government or the United
Retain: Division 79	YES 🔾	certain property tax exemptions, leaving the legislature to prescribe by law the qualifications for and amounts of property tax exemptions it creates; allowing property tax exemptions for resident veterans with disabilities, widows, and widowers regardless of when they became Arizona residents; and establishing that a person is not eligible for property tax exemptions for the property tax exemptions of the property tax exemptions are the property tax exemptions.	States government, to receive a ballot at an in-person voting location; removing the ability to receive a ballot at an in-person
MATA, JULIE ANN	NO 🔾	person with a disability, or veteran with a disability.	voting location without photo identification when showing two other identifying documents; and requiring the Arizona Department of Transportation to provide, without charge, a
Retain: Division 39	YES 🔾	A "NO" vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on property tax exemptions.	nonoperating identification license to individuals who request one for the purpose of voting.
MOSKOWITZ, FRANK W.	NO 🔾	YES 🔾	A "NO" vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on early ballot affidavits and voter identification.
Retain: Division 13	YES 🔾	NO 〇	YES 🔾
MYERS, SAM J.	NO 🔾	PROPOSITION 131	NO 🔾
Retain: Division 76	YES 🔾	PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO THE EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT	PROPOSITION 310
NICHOLLS, SUZANNE M.	NO 🔾	A "YES" vote shall have the effect of amending the constitution to create the office of Lieutenant Governor beginning with the	REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO TAXATION BENEFITTING FIRE DISTRICTS
Retain: Division 11 PINEDA, SUSANNA C.	YES 🔾	to create the office of Lieutenant Governor beginning with the 2026 election; requiring that a nominee for Governor name a nominee for Lieutenant Governor to be jointly elected; replacing the Secretary of State with the Lieutenant Governor as first in the line of succession to the office of Governor; and provide that the Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Attorney General, and Supprist August 1988 of the State State Treasurer, Attorney General, and Supprist August 1988 of the State State Treasurer, Attorney General, and	A "YES" vote shall have the effect of establishing a Fire District Safety Fund; increasing the Transaction Privilege (Sales) and
PINEDA, SUSANNA C.	NO 🔾	the line of succession to the office of Governor; and provide that the Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Attorney General, and Superintendant of Public Instruction may succeed to the office of	Use Tax by one-tenth of one percent from January 1, 2023 through December 31, 2042 to pay for the Fund; and distributing monies from the Fund to fire districts on a monthly basis.
Retain: Division 25	YES 🔾	Governor regardless of whether they were elected.	A "NO" vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on tax
POLK, JAY M.	NO 🔾	executive branch and existing law on executive succession.	rates and funding for the districts.
Retain: Division 77 RASSAS, MICHAEL Z.	YES 🔾	YES 🔾	YES 🔾
RASSAS, MICHAEL Z.	NO 🔾	NO 🔾	NO (
Retain: Division 46 ROGERS, JOSHUA D.	YES 🔾	PROPOSITION 132	
	NO 🔾	PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO INITIATIVE AND REFERENDUM MEASURES	
Retain: Division 44 RUETER, JEFFREY A.	YES 🔾	A "YES" vote shall have the effect of amending the constitution	
ROLIER, GELLIA.	NO 🔾	to require at least sixty percent of votes cast to approve an initiative or referendum that enacts a tax. A "NO" vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on	
Retain: Division 40 RYAN-TOUHILL,	YES 🔾	initiative and referendum measures.	
JENNIFER	NO 🔾	YES 🔾	
Retain: Division 78 SCHWARTZ, ARYEH D.	YES 🔾	NO 🔾	
OOMARTZ, ARTEND.	NO 🔾	Notice: Pursuant to proposition 105 (1998), these measures cannot be changed in the future if approved on	
Retain: Division 29 SINCLAIR, JOAN M.	YES 🔾	the ballot except by a three-fourths vote of the members of each house of the legislature and if the change furthers the purpose of the original ballot measure, by an	
·	NO 🔾	initiative petition or by referring the change to the ballot.	
Retain: Division 47 STEINER, RONEE KORBIN	YES 🔾	PROPOSITION 209	
	NO O	PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION RELATING TO PREDATORY DEBT COLLECTION PROTECTION A "YES" vote shall have the effect of reducing maximum interest	
Retain: Division 50 SUKENIC, HOWARD D.	YES O	A "YES" vote shall have the effect of reducing maximum interest rates on medical debt from ten percent to no more than three percent per year; increasing exemptions from all debt collection for certain personal assets, including a debtor's home, household items, motor vehicle, and bank account from debt collection; adjusting exemptions from all debt collection for inflation beginning in 2024; decreasing the amount of disposable earnings subject to garnishment to no more than ten percent of disposable earnings but allowing a court to decrease the disposable earnings subject to garnishment to five percent based on extreme economic hardship.	
Retain: Division 27	YES (household items, motor vehicle, and bank account from debt collection; adjusting exemptions from all debt collection for	
SVOBODA, PAMELA HEARN	NO (landary subject to garnishment to no more than ten percent of disposable earnings but allowing a court to decrease the	
Retain: Division 22	YES (disposable earnings subject to garnishment to five percent based on extreme economic hardship.	
VIOLA, DANIELLE J.	NO 🔾	A "NO" vote shall have the effect of retaining existing laws related to debt collection.	
Retain: Division 14	YES _	YES 🔾	
WARNER, RANDALL H.	NO 🔾	NO 〇	
Retain: Division 08	YES 🔾	PROPOSITION 211	
WELTY, JOSEPH C.	NO 🔾	PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION RELATING TO THE DISCLOSURE OF THE ORIGINAL SOURCE OF MONIES	
Retain: Division 71 WESTERHAUSEN,	YES 🔾	USED FOR CAMPAIGN MEDIA SPENDING A "YES" vote shall have the effect of requiring additional	
TRACEY	NO 🔾	disclosures and reporting by entities and persons whose campaign media spending and/or in-kind contributions for campaign media spending exceeds \$50,000 in statewide	
Retain: Division 51 WHITEHEAD, ROY	YES 🔾	campaign media spending exceeds \$50,000 in statewide campaigns or \$25,000 in other campaigns, including identifying original donors of contributions of more than \$5,000 in	
CHARLES	NO 🔾	aggregate; creating penalties for violations of the law; and allowing the Citizens Clean Elections Commission to adopt rules and enforce the provisions of the law.	
Retain: Division 72 WOO, CASSIE BRAY	YES 🔾	A "NO" vote shall have the effect of retaining existing law on campaign finance reporting requirements.	
	NO O		
STA		YES O	
PROPOSI PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO	THE CONSTITUTION BY THE	Notice: Pursuant to proposition 105 (1998), these	
LEGISLATURE RELATIN	ENDUM	measures cannot be changed in the future if approved on the ballot except by a three-fourths vote of the members	
A "YES" vote shall have the effet of allow the state legislature to supersede an initiative or refere	o amend, divert funds from, or endum measure enacted by the	of each house of the legislature and if the change furthers the purpose of the original ballot measure, by an	
people of Arizona if the measure is found to contain illegal or unconstitutional language by the Arizona or United States Supreme Court.		initiative petition or by referring the change to the ballot. PROPOSITION 308	
A " NO " vote shall have the effect state legislature's ability to a	t of retaining existing law on the		
supersede an initiative or reference	dum measure.	TUITION PURPOSES A "YES" vote shall have the effect of allowing any Arizona	
	YES 🔾	student, regardless of immigration status, to be eligible for in-state tuition at state universities and community colleges if	
NO 🔾		they graduated from, and spent at least two years attending, an Arizona public or private high school, or homeschool equivalent; allowing any Arizona student, regardless of immigration status,	
PROPOSITION 129		to be eligible for state financial aid at state universities and community colleges.	
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO LEGISLATURE RELATING	TO INITIATIVE MEASURES	A "NO" vote shall have the effect of retaining the current law on university and community college tuition.	
A "YES" vote shall have the effet to limit each initiative measure that subject to be expressed in the	to a single subject and require	YES 🔾	
that subject to be expressed in th A "NO" vote shall have the effect initiative measures.		NO 🔾	
	YES O		